arXiv:1411.3890v1 [hep-ph] 14 Nov 2014

QCD sum-rule results for heavy-light meson decay constants and comparison with lattice QCD

Wolfgang Lucha

Institute for High Energy Physics, Austrian Academy of Sciences
Nikolsdorfergasse 18, A-1050 Vienna, Austria

Dmitri Melikhov

Institute for High Energy Physics, Austrian Academy of Sciences
Nikolsdorfergasse 18, A-1050 Vienna, Austria

D.V. Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics
M.V. Lomonosov Moscow State University, 119991, Moscow, Russia

Silvano Simula

Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione Roma Tre
Via della Vasca Navale 84, I-00146 Roma, Italy

Updated predictions for the decay constants of the D, D_s, B and B_s mesons obtained from Borel QCD sum rules for heavy-light currents are presented and compared with the recent lattice averages performed by the Flavor Lattice Averaging Group. An excellent agreement is obtained in the charm sector, while some tension is observed in the bottom sector. Moreover, available lattice and QCD sum-rule calculations of the decay constants of the vector D^*, D_s^*, B^* and B_s^* mesons are compared. Again some tension in the bottom sector is observed.

PRESENTED AT

the 8^{th} International Workshop on
the CKM Unitarity Triangle (CKM 2014)
Vienna, Austria, September 8–12, 2014

1 Leptonic decay constants from QCD sum rules

Leptonic decay constants of pseudoscalar (PS) heavy-light mesons are crucial hadronic ingredients relevant for the extraction of the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix elements from the experimental data on the weak decays of a heavy-light meson H to a lepton-neutrino pair via flavor-changing transitions [1], $H \to \ell\nu_\ell$, and on the rare leptonic decays of neutral PS mesons to a charged-lepton pair via flavor-changing neutral currents [2], $H \to \ell^+\ell^-$. Moreover, the leptonic decay constants of vector (V) heavy-light mesons are relevant quantities in the heavy-quark phenomenology, like, e.g., for describing the contributions of vector poles coupled to weak currents mediating the semileptonic decays of PS heavy-light mesons.

Within the method of QCD sum rules (QCD-SR) [3, 4] the extraction of the leptonic decay constants of ground-state PS and V mesons is based on the analysis of the two-point correlation functions

$$i\int d^4x e^{ip\cdot x}\langle 0|T\left[j_5(x)j_5^\dagger(0)\right]|0\rangle = \Pi^{PS}(p^2)\ , \quad (1)$$

$$i\int d^4x e^{ip\cdot x}\langle 0|T\left[j_\nu(x)j_{\nu'}^\dagger(0)\right]|0\rangle = \left(-g_{\nu\nu'}+\frac{p_\nu p_{\nu'}}{p^2}\right)\Pi^V(p^2)+\frac{p_\nu p_{\nu'}}{p^2}\Pi_L^V(p^2)\ , \quad (2)$$

where $j_5(x) = (m_h+m_q)\overline{q}(x)i\gamma_5 h(x)$ and $j_\nu(x) = \overline{q}(x)\gamma_\nu h(x)$ are interpolating heavy-light quark currents with $h = c, b$ and $q = u, d, s$.

The correlators $\Pi^{PS}(p^2)$ and $\Pi^V(p^2)$ have both a hadronic and a quark-gluon (OPE) representation. After Borelization one has:

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi^{PS(V)}(\tau) &= f_{PS(V)}^2 M_{PS(V)}^{4(2)}\ e^{-M_{PS(V)}^2\tau} + \int_{s_{phys}^{PS(V)}}^\infty ds\ e^{-s\tau}\rho_{hadron}^{PS(V)}(s) \\ &= \int_{(m_h+m_q)^2}^\infty ds\ e^{-s\tau}\rho_{pert}^{PS(V)}(s,\mu) + \Pi_{power}^{PS(V)}(\tau,\mu)\ , \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where $f_{PS(V)}$ is the leptonic decay constant*, $M_{PS(V)}$ the mass of the ground state, $s_{phys}^{PS(V)}$ the threshold for excited states, $\rho_{pert}^{PS(V)}$ the perturbative spectral density, $\Pi_{power}^{PS(V)}$ the power corrections containing the contributions of all vacuum condensates and μ is the subtraction point introduced by the OPE.

The perturbative spectral density $\rho_{pert}^{PS(V)}$ can be expanded as a series of powers of the strong coupling constant $\alpha_s(\mu)$ and it has been calculated beyond the leading order (LO), given by the simple heavy-light loop, by including two- and three-loop contributions. The NLO corrections, originating from two loops related to gluon exchanges, are known from Refs. [5, 6] in the case of the PS channel and from Ref. [7] in the case of the V one. The NNLO contributions for both PS and V correlators

*The leptonic decay constants are defined as: $f_{PS}M_{PS}^2 \equiv \langle 0|j_5(0)|H\rangle$ and $f_V M_V \varepsilon_\nu^V \equiv \langle 0|j_\nu(0)|H^*\rangle$, where ε_ν^V is the polarization vector of the H^* meson.

have been calculated in Ref. [8] in the case of massless light quarks. The (small) corrections for finite values of the light-quark mass are known from Ref. [9] for the PS correlator and only very recently from Ref. [10] for the V one. An important result is that the perturbative series expressed in terms of the heavy-quark pole mass is not convergent at all, as shown in Refs. [9, 11, 12] for the PS correlator and in Ref. [13] for the V one. This problem can be cured by rearranging the perturbative expansion in terms of the running $\overline{\rm MS}$ mass, which, at variance with the pole mass, is also free from renormalon ambiguities.

As for the power corrections $\Pi^{PS(V)}_{power}$, the contributions from vacuum condensates up to dimension $d = 6$, namely the quark ($d = 3$), gluon ($d = 4$), quark-gluon ($d = 5$) and four-quark condensates ($d = 6$), are known at LO. The only NLO correction available has been calculated for the quark-condensate contribution in Ref. [9] for the PS channel and in Ref. [10] for the V one.

The explicit expressions for $\rho^{PS(V)}_{pert}$ and $\Pi^{PS(V)}_{power}$ in terms of the running $\overline{\rm MS}$ mass can be found in Refs. [10, 13].

The Borelized correlator $\Pi^{PS(V)}(\tau)$ is dominated by the ground-state contribution at large values of the Borel variable τ, where, however, any truncated OPE is not expected to converge. An effective tool for eliminating the contribution of excited states at intermediate values of τ is the quark-hadron duality introduced for the first time in Ref. [3], namely

$$\int_{s^{PS(V)}_{phys}}^{\infty} ds\ e^{-s\tau} \rho^{PS(V)}_{hadron}(s) = \int_{s^{PS(V)}_{eff}}^{\infty} ds\ e^{-s\tau} \rho^{PS(V)}_{pert}(s,\mu)\ , \tag{4}$$

where $s^{PS(V)}_{eff}$ is an effective threshold. Therefore, the leptonic decay constant of the ground state can be easily extracted from the *dual* correlator $\Pi^{PS(V)}_{dual}(\tau)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi^{PS(V)}_{dual}(\tau) &= \int_{(m_h+m_q)^2}^{s^{PS(V)}_{eff}(\tau,\mu)} ds\ e^{-s\tau} \rho^{PS(V)}_{pert}(s,\mu) + \Pi^{PS(V)}_{power}(\tau,\mu) \\ &= f^2_{PS(V)} M^{4(2)}_{PS(V)}\ e^{-M^2_{PS(V)}\tau}\ . \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

The extraction procedure starts with the choice of the Borel window $\tau_{min} \leq \tau \leq \tau_{max}$, where the constraint $\tau \geq \tau_{min}$ guarantees that the ground state provides a sizable contribution (typically $> 50\%$) to the full correlator $\Pi^{PS(V)}(\tau)$, while the constraint $\tau \leq \tau_{max}$ keeps the power corrections sufficiently small numerically.

Then, the effective threshold $s^{PS(V)}_{eff}$ is chosen by requiring that in the given Borel window the dual mass $M^{PS(V)}_{dual}(\tau)$, defined as the logarithmic slope of the dual correlator $\Pi^{PS(V)}_{dual}(\tau)$, reproduces the experimental meson mass M_H.

Any deviation of $M^{PS(V)}_{dual}(\tau)$ from M_H means that contaminations from excited states are present in the chosen Borel window. A strategy, commonly adopted in literature, is to assume that the effective threshold $s^{PS(V)}_{eff}$ is a constant, independent

of τ. However, the crucial point is that the effective threshold $s_{eff}^{PS(V)}$, appearing in the duality relation (4), is in general a function of the Borel variable τ. Such a feature has been pointed out for the first time in Ref. [14], where the case of exactly solvable potential models was investigated in detail, and then applied to QCD in Refs. [11, 12, 13, 15]. The τ-dependence of $s_{eff}^{PS(V)}$ reduces the deviations of $M_{dual}^{PS(V)}(\tau)$ from M_H, which implies that the contaminations from the excited states are reduced in the Borel window, leading to a clear, important improvement of the quality of the dual correlator $\Pi_{dual}^{PS(V)}(\tau)$.

In what follows we will limit ourselves only to the Borel QCD-SR results for the leptonic decay constants obtained adopting a τ-dependent effective threshold, *i.e.* to the results of Refs. [11, 12, 13], where a polynomial Ansatz is used to parameterize $s_{eff}^{PS(V)}(\tau)$, and to those of Ref. [10], where the quantities $s_{eff}^{PS(V)}(\tau_i)$ for each point τ_i of the (discretized) Borel window are treated as free parameters[†].

2 Charm sector

For the OPE input parameters, namely the light and heavy quark masses, the condensates and the strong coupling $\alpha_s(M_Z)$ required at the QCD level, a rather standard set of values is commonly adopted in the literature (see, *e.g.*, Table 1 of Ref. [10]).

The QCD-SR results for f_D and f_{D_s}/f_D obtained in Refs. [10] and [11] are shown in Fig. 1 and compared with the corresponding PDG values [16] and with the averages of lattice QCD (LQCD) simulations with $N_f = 2, 2+1, 2+1+1$ dynamical quarks, provided recently by the Flavor Lattice Averaging Group (FLAG) [17]. It can be seen that an excellent agreement exists between LQCD and QCD-SR calculations (where in the latter the PDG value of the charm mass, $\overline{m}_c(\overline{m}_c) = 1.275(25)$ GeV, has been considered) as well as with the PDG value for f_D. Only a moderate tension (at $1.5 \div 2\ \sigma$ level) is visible in the case of the PDG ratio f_{D_s}/f_D.

The QCD-SR results for the ratios f_{D^*}/f_D and $f_{D_s^*}/f_{D_s}$ obtained in Refs. [10] and [13] are shown in Fig. 2 and compared with the LQCD results with $N_f = 2$ and $N_f = 2+1$ from Refs. [18, 19, 20]. Again a good agreement is observed with the exception of the LQCD result of Ref. [20] with $N_f = 2+1$.

3 Beauty sector

As pointed out in Ref. [12], the decay constant f_B extracted from QCD-SR is very sensitive to the input value of the b-quark mass, $\overline{m}_b(\overline{m}_b)$. The origin of the above sensitivity is not surprising and it can be understood easily even in non-relativistic

[†]Note that the calculation of $M_{dual}^{PS(V)}$ requires the knowledge of the derivative of $s_{eff}^{PS(V)}(\tau)$. This effect is ignored in Ref. [10].



Figure 1: *QCD-SR results for f_D (left) and f_{D_s}/f_D (right) obtained in Ref. [10] (open dots) and in Ref. [11] (full dots), compared with the corresponding PDG values [16] (diamonds) and with the recent LQCD averages from FLAG [17] (open and full squares) carried out at various values of the number of dynamical sea quarks ($N_f = 2, 2+1, 2+1+1$) considered in the lattice QCD simulations.*

quantum-mechanical models. In QCD one finds that $\delta f_B \simeq -0.37\, \delta\overline{m}_b(\overline{m}_b)$ [12]. The above feature opens the possibility to determine $\overline{m}_b(\overline{m}_b)$ using as input a precise value for f_B, like the one that can be provided by LQCD.

As shown in Fig. 3, the FLAG averages for f_B indicate a central value around 190 MeV with an error of $\simeq 2\%$. Using the PDG value for the b-quark mass, $\overline{m}_b(\overline{m}_b) = 4.18(3)$ GeV [16], the QCD-SR results for f_B from Refs. [10] and [12] are $\simeq 10 \div 15\%$ higher than the FLAG averages, with a total uncertainty of $\simeq 6\%$. On the other hand, when the FLAG average is used as input for f_B, the b-quark mass obtained from QCD-SR is $\overline{m}_b(\overline{m}_b) = 4.25(3)$ GeV [12], *i.e.* $\simeq 1.5\%$ higher than the PDG value, with an uncertainty of $\simeq 0.7\%$. Therefore, the above findings suggest that a moderate tension (at the $1.5 \div 2\sigma$ level) occurs between the LQCD determinations of f_B and the QCD-SR results for $\overline{m}_b(\overline{m}_b)$.

In the case of the QCD-SR for the B^* meson a new problem emerges. Even if a τ-dependent effective threshold is adopted, the dual mass splitting $(M^{B^*}_{dual} - M^{B}_{dual})$ does not reproduce the experimental mass splitting $(M^{B^*} - M^{B}) = 45.78(35)$ MeV [16] in the full OPE parameter space, as is clearly illustrated in the left panel of Fig. 4. This problem can be cured only by assuming that the Borel window, in particular the upper limit τ_{max}, depends on the subtraction point μ (see the solid line in the left panel of Fig. 4).

In this way a strong sensitivity of f_{B^*} to the subtraction point is found [21]. In particular, the ratio f_{B^*}/f_B turns out to be definitely below unity for $\mu > 3$ GeV and above unity only for $\mu < 3$ GeV, where, however, the hierarchy of the various perturbative orders is lost even using the running $\overline{\rm MS}$ mass. Therefore, taking into



Figure 2: *QCD-SR results for f_{D^*}/f_D (left) and $f_{D_s^*}/f_{D_s}$ (right) obtained in Ref. [10] (open dots) and in Ref. [13] (full dots), compared with the LQCD results of Ref. [18] (full diamonds), Ref. [19] (open diamond) and Ref. [20] (triangle).*

account a range of values of μ from 3 to 6 GeV, we obtain [21]

$$f_{B^*}/f_B = 0.923\ (59)\ , \qquad f_{B_s^*}/f_{B_s} = 0.932(47)\ . \tag{6}$$

Our finding (6) for the ratio f_{B^*}/f_B is reported in the right panel of Fig. 4 and compared with few available LQCD results, which, however, suggest a value of the ratio above unity.

4 Conclusions

In this contribution we have highlighted the important improvements in the quality of Borel QCD-SR predictions for the leptonic decay constants of heavy-light mesons achieved during the last years thanks to a better knowledge of the perturbative spectral density and of the condensate contributions as well as to new algorithms that allow a significative reduction of the excited-state contaminations in the dual correlators for both PS and V heavy-light currents.

In the charm sector there is an excellent agreement between the predictions of LQCD, as analyzed by FLAG, and those from QCD-SR, adopting in the latter the PDG value for the charm quark mass.

In the beauty sector a moderate tension (at the $1.5 \div 2\sigma$ level) occurs between the FLAG averages for f_B and the QCD-SR result for the b-quark mass. Moreover, the reproduction of the B^*-meson mass is problematic in some parts of the OPE parameter space and a μ-dependent Borel window has to be considered to guarantee the reproduction of the experimental B^*-B mass splitting. While available LQCD predictions for the ratio f_{B^*}/f_B suggest a value above unity, the Borel QCD-SR is remarkably sensitive to the value of μ and favors values of the ratio f_{B^*}/f_B below unity in the range $3 < \mu\ (\text{GeV}) < 6$.



Figure 3: *QCD-SR results for f_B (left) and f_{B_s}/f_B (right) obtained in Ref. [10] (open dots) and in Ref. [12] (full dots and diamonds), compared with the FLAG averages [17] (open squares) of LQCD calculations with $N_f = 2, 2+1, 2+1+1$ dynamical quarks. The open and full dots have been obtained using the PDG value for the b-quark mass, $\overline{m}_b(\overline{m}_b) = 4.18(3)$ GeV [16], while the diamonds correspond to $\overline{m}_b(\overline{m}_b) = 4.25(3)$ GeV, obtained in [12] using the FLAG averages as input for f_B.*

The presence of the above tensions in the beauty sector and their absence in the charm one are open issues to be further investigated.

References

[1] J.L. Rosner and S. Stone, arXiv:1309.1924 [hep-ex].

[2] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **110** (2013) 021801.

[3] M.A. Shifman, A.I. Vainshtein and V.I. Zakharov, Nucl. Phys. B **147** (1979) 385.

[4] T.M. Aliev and V.L. Eletsky, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **38** (1983) 936.

[5] D.J. Broadhurst and A.G. Grozin, Phys. Lett. B **274** (1992) 421.

[6] S.C. Generalis, J. Phys. G **16** (1990) 785.

[7] C.A. Dominguez and N. Paver, Phys. Lett. B **246** (1990) 493.

[8] K.G. Chetyrkin and M. Steinhauser, Eur. Phys. J. C **21** (2001) 319.

[9] M. Jamin and B.O. Lange, Phys. Rev. D **65** (2002) 056005.

[10] P. Gelhausen *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **88** (2013) 1, 014015.

[11] W. Lucha, D. Melikhov and S. Simula, Phys. Lett. B **701** (2011) 82.



Figure 4: *Left panel: the (μ, τ) plane of the OPE parameter space, where green (red) markers correspond to the cases in which the dual B^*-B mass splitting deviates from its experimental value, equal to* 45.8 *MeV [16], less (more) than* 10 *MeV. Right panel: QCD-SR result for the ratio f_{B^*}/f_B from Ref. [21] (dot) compared with the LQCD results obtained in Ref. [22] (open triangle), Ref. [23] (full triangle) and Ref. [19] (diamond).*

[12] W. Lucha, D. Melikhov and S. Simula, Phys. Rev. D **88** (2013) 5, 056011.

[13] W. Lucha, D. Melikhov and S. Simula, Phys. Lett. B **735** (2014) 12.

[14] W. Lucha *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **76** (2007) 036002; Phys. Lett. B **657** (2007) 148; Phys. Atom. Nucl. **71** (2008) 1461 [Yad. Fiz. **71** (2008) 1489]; Phys. Lett. B **671** (2009) 445; Phys. Rev. D **79** (2009) 096011; J. Phys. G **37** (2010) 035003; Phys. Rev. D **80** (2009) 114028.

[15] W. Lucha, D. Melikhov and S. Simula, Phys. Lett. B **687** (2010) 48; Phys. Atom. Nucl. **73** (2010) 1770 [Yad. Fiz. **73** (2010) 1819]; J. Phys. G **38** (2011) 105002.

[16] K.A. Olive *et al.* [PDG Collaboration], Chin. Phys. C **38** (2014) 090001.

[17] S. Aoki *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. C **74** (2014) 9, 2890. For the previous FLAG review see: G. Colangelo *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. C **71** (2011) 1695.

[18] D. Becirevic *et al.*, JHEP **1202** (2012) 042.

[19] D. Becirevic *et al.*, arXiv:1407.1019 [hep-ph].

[20] G.C. Donald *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112** (2014) 212002.

[21] W. Lucha, D. Melikhov and S. Simula, arXiv:1410.6684 [hep-ph].

[22] C. Bernard *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **65** (2002) 014510.

[23] K.C. Bowler *et al.* [UKQCD Collaboration], Nucl. Phys. B **619** (2001) 507.